OPTIC-IAL FORM NO. 10
MAY 10/2 FORTION
GSA FETTR (II CFR) 101-11.6
UNITED STARDBroved For Release 2003/04/29: CIA-RDP83-00058R000100120007-6

Memorandum

DIR-8846

то	

Director, OTR

DATE: 20 July 1973

STAT

FROM

----

SUBJECT:

Program Performance Measurement. The Emerging Role of OTR in establishing Requirements for Training.

I am submitting this memorandum intended as a discussion of

- (1) how to provide the data base necessary to tell OTR how well the programs are meeting their objectives on a current basis
- (2) an approach to determine to what extent the knowledge and/or skills imparted are applied on the job and
- (3) how OTR can establish requirements as contrasted with historically reacting to requirements.

A concept of a data base is presented which is intended to aggregate all of this and also attached is a basic form that can be used to respond to all of these goals.

In order to institute the best type of (program) performance measurement a number of aspects must be considered which not only influence the behavior and norale of those being measured but also affect the ability to satisfy the student -- our customer.

This paper is divided into four sections

Section 1 - A Discussion of Program Performance Measurement

Section 2 - OTR's Role in Establishing Requirements

Section 3 - Some Observations

Section 4 - Appendix A - C

Chairman, Functions Course

Buy U.S. Savings Bonds Regularly on the Payroll Savings Plan

lia

STAT

# Approved For Pelease 2003/04/29: CIA-RDP83-00058R000100120007-6 A Discussion of Program Performance Measurement

There are four steps involved in Program Performance Measurement that must be followed in a continually repetitive sequence to achieve the full benefits of such efforts.

The first step requires the careful specification and analysis of basic program objectives in each major area of activity. To accomplish this one must back away from the particular program being carried on, look at their objectives, and ask what are we really trying to accomplish. This definition of goals to be accomplished should start ideally at the top of the "organization" so that each level can be certain that their definition of goals falls within the scope of what has been defined by the next higher level. OTR certainly must provide the training necessary for agency personnel to accomplish or improve the accomplishment of the various agency missions but such definition is too broad and must be narrowed. At the other extreme for OTR to say we shall train "x" number of people or increase our student through-put by some quantity is too narrow and must be broadened. Specification of OTR objectives must fall between these two extremes. The more we learn about how to reach an objective, the more clearly we understand the objective resulting in a constant interaction between the decision process and our knowledge of our true objectives.

The second step involves the analysis of the output of a given program in terms of the objectives initially specified in the first step. For the OTR programs, our output addresses not how many or how many more students did we turn out but rather what improvements,

## Approved For Release 2003/04/29 : CIA-RDP83-00058R000100120007-6

knowledge and/or new skills have we provided to the student. Will our educational efforts influence his behavior on the job and improve his job performance. This is often referred to as feedback a term taken from engineering servomechanism theory.

The third step calls for measurement of the total costs of the program -- not just for one year but over at least several years ahead. This would require OTR to identify the resources expended in each program, to accumulate resource costs by program and to extrapolate into the future periods from a historical cost data base.

The fourth step involves the analysis of alternatives if and only if programs are competing for limited resources. As an expository application let us examine how these steps or this cycle of events applies to the Information Science Program and the Information Science for Intelligence Functions Course within that program.

Course objectives serve two purposes:

- They express the desired results of our customers in terms of accomplishments or goals to be achieved by the course.
- 2. They provide the basis or elements fundamental to course evaluation.

The most difficult aspect of any professional performance measurement scheme is not how to measure but what should be measured. What should be measured is dependent upon how one is organized and whether accountability and responsibility for activities which

Approved For Release 2003/04/29: CIA-RDP83-00058R000100120007-6

#### Approved For Release 2003/04/29: CIA-RDP83-00058R000100120007-6

satisfy customers needs are clearly defined and understood by those charged with such responsibility and accountability. Clearly organizational analysis is beyond the scope of this paper nevertheless the interrelationship between the design of an organization and an evaluation of an organization's performance must be clearly established.

These ideas have been used in our Information Science Program.

For example I have assumed from our previous planning that the single overall objective required by step one of our Information Science

Training Program at the Chief/Information Science Training Staff level is to educate Intelligence Professionals in the Information Science

Disciplines. For the Current FY 73 Community Program segment, the numbers of students planned were as follows:

			Total Course Weeks				
				Total Stud	lents	160	
2 offerings	<b>200 200</b>	Inf. Sci.		1 week	***	<b>25</b> stdts = 50	
2 offerings		Functions	-	4 weeks	***	25 stdts = 50	
2 offerings		Survey		3 weeks		30' stdts = $60$	

Assuming for step two that the proper output measure of the Information Science Program objective is to quote numbers of students exposed to Information Science then I could certify for the Functions Course that 27 + 30 or 57 students completed the Functions Course during FY 73. One can further document the specific inputs (and their costs required in step three) required to generate this output of 57 students i.e., lecture time of resident and guest faculty, course preparation time, computer time and charges (by problem and lecture if necessary) etc. From a Systems Analysis point of view,

the output (57 students) is tied to the related and required inputs (the resources and their costs) but is this a sufficient and proper measure? This measure of output as a single measure reflects the number of students put through the course but does not reflect the full range of values or benefits provided to our students and their respective organizations. In order to measure the value provided by the Functions Course and its contribution to the Information Science Program overall, it is necessary to go beyond the single measure of student output and resource input to determine whether we accomplished the course objectives and accordingly satisfied the students our customers. What is missing is the careful specification and analysis of the program objectives which produces a more meaningful output measure.

To elaborate further -- for the Functions Course alone -- four priority ranked specific student-oriented objectives were established (step one):

- 1. To familiarize you with the terminology and basic techniques of Information Science.
- 2. To develop your capability of identifying and defining problems in your professional intelligence field which are amenable to solution by information science techniques and to solve such problems at the elementary level.
- 3. To improve your communications capabilities in conferring with information science professionals.
- 4. To encourage you to pursue further the development of your own, and your organization's information science resources and capabilities.

How well we accomplish these objectives in the Functions Course with a fixed set of resource inputs is reflected in the course

Approved For Release 2003/04/29 : CIA-RDP83-00058R000100120007-6

evaluations completed by the students. A summary of the student evaluation responses from the last Functions Course demonstrates to what degree these objectives were fulfilled for that particular class (step two):

#### STUDENT EVALUATION-FINDINGS

OBJECTIVE	OUTSTANDING/EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR
1.	27 Students 90% of the class	3 10%	0
2.	15	13	2
	50%	43%	7%
3.	21	7	2
	70%	23%	7%
4.	23	6	1
	77%	20%	3%

From these findings one can conclude that all objectives were accomplished. Since objectives 2 and 3 rank the lowest, efforts should be directed toward improving those areas for the next offering of the Functions Course. A follow-up questionnaire, four to six months after the course, would further confirm the degree of job application accomplished by the students of each course.

These objectives and the associated evaluation scheme used for the Functions Course may not be applicable for all courses conducted by OTR. Each course must have specified its own set of objectives based on the unique requirements of the customer needs to be satisfied by each course. How each course is conducted depends upon (1) the subject material to be presented, (2) the skill and knowledge of the assigned manpower presenting the course and (3) any procedural directives issued by top and/or middle management that apply

Approved For Release 2003/04/29 : CIA-RDP83-00058R000100120007-6

specifically to a particular program or course. These are the factors that influence not only the specification of objectives but also the degree to which the course objectives can be accomplished.

#### OTR's Role in Establishing Requirements

Although this process, its steps and their interrelationship have been explained using the Functions Course as a "real live intelligence application" the same concepts apply to any level within the organization. For example, the objectives that OTR must accomplish have in the past depended upon the requirements that have been levied by "Top" management. How OTR is organized has depended upon (1) the entire list of such requirements and (2) the aggregation of similiar activities and/or courses (requirements) into manageable (school) segments. Such aggregations must provide for clearly defined areas of responsibility and authority which are the pre-requisites to accountability. To say the least I have been disappointed in the limited agency career development program that exists only for specific segments or very narrow specialties. The time has come for OTR to become more aggressive not only in career development training but also in much of the currently named "component" training. The training that OTR conducts for the Directorate of Operations and the Directorate of Intelligence I consider to be basically component training. In order to effect batter utilization of training assets by OTR, OTR must effectively plan, organize and control the total training effort of

the agency. Planning sets the stage, organizing sets the resources and controlling sets the degree to which the organization will continue to survive. Planning determines a schedule of what is to be accomplished, Organizing determines the resources to be invested and how to accomplish the planned schedule and controlling determines how well the plan was accomplished. Since control requires a measured comparison of what was accomplished to what was planned to be accomplished, there can be no control without a succinct plan. The degree or amount of control required is dependent upon the professional capabilities of the personnel. In this regard OTR must decide what role it is to play in Agency Training. This really means a restatement of the objectives to be accomplished, time-phased in a reasonable balance between assets, resources and time for accomplishment. I am reminded of the little boy who was asked by his father (a world reknowned Production Control expert) what he wanted for his birthday next month. The toy replied "a baby brother." His dad responded with "that's impossible son." To which the boy quickly replied "you have taught me all of the principles and practices of effective production control, just put more men on the job".

Once the objectives are carefully specified and analyzed, then OTR can determine if the present organization is properly structured to accomplish this priority-ranked list of objectives. The only definitive principles of organization structure that apply are that (1) each organization falls somewhere between the extremes of being functionally organized and being product or service organized and

(2) like-activities should be grouped into manageable segments so that the specified objectives of such "grouped" activities can be responsibly accomplished.

If OTR is to exert more influence in career development, then OTR must write career development plans (programs) in conjunction with each responsible directorate. These career development programs then become the focal point of the OTR program planning and the subsequent course planning. The existence of career development plans does not require OTR to be organized along the same career structure. In fact, an OTR element such as the Information Science Program can cut across a number of career development plans by providing segments of instruction or courses that fit into various career progression patterns. Other such examples include the IWA introductory orientation, the mid-career and management courses and the senior seminar.

If OTR recognizes a need or void in training then a course or program should be developed and presented. Demand for such a program can be generated through effective advertising campaigns. We should look to the methods used in successful marketing research and advertising campaigns and apply such tactics to researching the need and promoting newly developed programs.

To determine how well each course is meeting its objectives, I offer as an example (appendix A) the form used in the Functions Course. As a follow-up questionnaire, I offer the form (appendix B) designed for the Functions Course. To determine the allocation of manpower/time assets, I offer the Faculty/Staff Activity Report (appendix C) as the

basic time allocation data collection device. One can thus relate resource expenditures to the benefits provided to our customers — the students and to those responsible for review of career development.

#### Some Observations

It is often charged that such measurement sets up biases in decision-making by concentrating on costs and ignoring intangibles and human factors which cannot be quantified. Or conversely by naively attempting to put numbers on such imponderable elements thereby misleading the decision-maker. Such thinking often forces personnel to play games. For example (in the evaluation of personnel who (1) present lectures or (2) participate as guest lecturers in other courses.) If I knew that my performance was to be evaluated on (1) the number of students who were lectured (2) the number of my lecture hours and (3) the ratio of students per lecture hours then I would make certain that my lecture hours per course were at a maximum and that I lectured only to large student-groups or classes. I could so maximize my performance measures that I would always be number one on this performance roster.

However sincere these critics may be — they reflect a complete misunderstanding of the relevent issue. And sometimes they simply reflect the chagrin that particular pet projects may not show up well under such measurement schemes.

Program Performance measurement does require a systematic analysis (the means) of program proposals and decisions, concentrating on those particular decisions (the ends) which have inherent budgetary

Approved For Release 2003/04/29: CIA-RDP83-00058R000100120007-6

### Approved For Release 2003/04/29 : CIA-RDP83-00058R000100120007-6

consequences. Please note that systematic analysis does not have to be quantitative and is not co-extensive with quantitative analysis. The word "analyze" does not have the same meaning as the words "quantify" or "measure" although analysis often includes some form of measurement. Management by Objectives, the PPB process or whatever the current "in" title of a good management philosopy is, all of these concepts seek to subject to a systematic analysis both the tangible and intangible elements of a program decision. We live in a world that must make decisions often using limited or meagre information. This is more akin to the European Style of Management which opts for "adequate" information before making the decision. In any event let us not become so Management by Objectives (MEO) oriented that we become managers who can't make decisions unless the plan calls for a decision.

Approved For Release 2003/04/29 : CIA-RDP83-00058R000100120007-6

APPENDIX - A

# STUDENT EVALUATION Approved For Release 2003/04/29: CIA-RDP83-00058R200100120007-6 INFORMATION SCIENCE FOR INTELLIGENCE FUNCTIONS

LLAS	<b>5:</b>				·								
prog of t Func	ram. he bas	Your is fi Cour	cons or im se to	truct provi	ive co ng the	ncerned mments abilit nformat	are s y of	solicit future	ied a e pra	and wil esentat	l be	used a of the	is part
1.	What	is y	our o	veral	l impr	ession	of th	nis cou	ırseî	?			
Outs	tandin	ıg	_ Ex	celle	nt	_Good_	-	Fair_		Poor_			
2.	Did W	e fu	lfill	each	of ou	r cours	e ob;	jective	s fo	r you?	Indi	icate b	elow:
: : :	(a)	To of	famil Infor	iariz matio	e you n Scie	with the	e ter	minolo	gy a	ind bas	ic te	echniqu	es
Outs	tandin	g	_ Ex	celle	nt	Good_		Fair_		Poor_			
•	(b)	in y	your : ition	profe by i	ssiona' nforma	ability lintel tion scr mentary	liger ience	ice fie techn	id w	hich a	re an	enable	to
Outs	tandin	g	Exc	cella	nt	Good_		Fair_		Poor_			
	(c)	To i	impro ormat	ve you ion s	ur com cience	municati profess	ions siona	capabí 1s.	liti	es in	confe	rring	with
Outst	tandin	g	Exc	celle	nt	Good		Fair_		Poor_			
	(d)	and	encour your abili	orga	yeu to nizatio	pursue on's int	furt forma	her th tion s	e de cien	velopm ce res	ent c ource	f your s and	own,
Outsi	tandin	g	_ Exc	elle:	1t	Good		Fair		Poor	····		
	(e)	obje Disc	ective cuss 1	as? this d	Yes compat	course of the co	do and	descri!	be a				

4,	would you recommend this course to a co-worker?
	Approved For Release 2003/04/28: CIA-RDP83-00058R000100120007-6
5.	What is your job title and what are your major tasks?
6.	What are your personal reason(s) for attending this course?
7.	Reflecting on your learning from the course what percent of the course do you feel will contribute or be of use to you? Please identify by entering one (x) check for each line.
	a. Immediate Use 25% 50% 75% 100%
	b. Long-range Use 25% 50% 75% 100% 100%
	25% 50% 75% 100%
8.	Please rate the course in light of your answers to Questions #4, #5, $\#6$ and $\#7$ .
Outs	tanding Excellent Good Fair Poor
9.	As a follow-up to your end-of-course evaluation, any subsequent comments based on work experience at your home station would be most welcome and helpful in updating course relevance. Would you be interested in accomplishing a post-graduate questionnaire four months after completion of this Course?
	YesNo

Approved For Release 2003/04/29: CIA-RDP83-00058R000100120007-6

APPENDIX - B

3. Reflect on your learning from the course this week. For each subject element listed please indicate your personal reaction to the appropriate space Approved For Release 2003/04/29: CIA-RDP83-00058R000100120007-6

-	Approved For Release	2003/04/29 : CIA-RDP8	3-00058R00010012	0007-6	
SUBJECT ELEMENT	I can see no application for this element in my work.	I am aware of a few appli- cations for this element.	I am aware of many applications for this element in my work.	I'd like to incorporate the information & techniques from this element in my work.	I will certainly incorporate the infor-mation and techniques from this element in my work.
Elementary System Concepts					
Basic Programming					
Statistics					-
Library Programs					
DELPHI					
Decision Trees					ii.
Network Analysis					
Intelligence Problems –  making use of these new techniques					
}	Approved For Release	2003/04/29 : CIA-RD₽8	3-00058R00010012	0007-6	

3. Reflect on your learning from the course this week. For each subject element listed please indicate your personal reaction to the appropriate space.

**新** 

SUBJECT ELEMENT	I can see no application for this element in my work.	I am aware of a few appli- cations for this element.	I am aware of many applications for this element in my work.	I'd like to incorporate the information & techniques from this element in my work.	I will certainly incorporate the information and techniques from this element in my work.				
Probability					# William				
Linear Programming									
Basic Programming					THE STATE OF THE S				
Single Correlation & Regression					Section 1				
Library Programs					A cor the party core				
DELPHI					reduction				
Probability Assessment					579g				
Intelligence Problems - making use of these new techniques									
Approved For Release 2003/04/29 : CIA-RDP83-00058R000100120007-6									

3. Reflect on your learning from the course this week. For each subject element listed please indicate your personal reachnessed for Reflease 1993/04/29 cCIA-RDP83-00058R000100120007-6

		•		•	
SUBJECT ELEMENT	I can see no application for this element in my work.	I am aware of a few appli- cations for this element.	I am aware of many applications for this element in my work.	I'd like to incorporate the information & techniques from this element in my work.	I will certainl incorporate the infor-mation and techniques from this element in my work.
Information Storage And Retrieval					to a depend on a production
Queueing		,			
Basic Programming	·				
Decision Theory					
Library Programs					
DELPHI		er en de tempe de la grade de l'entre de la desertation de la grade de la grade de la grade de la grade de la g			
iss					
COINS					
Intelligence Problems -					• 7
making use of these new techniques					
Ар	proved For Release 2003/	04/29 : CIA-RDP83-0005	8R000100120007	6	

3. Reflect on your learning from the course this week. For each subject element listed please indicate your personal reaction to the properties space: CIA-RDP83-00058R000100120007-6

SUBJECT ELEMENT .	I can see no application for this element in my work.	I am aware of a few appli- cations for this element.	I am aware of many applications for this element in my work.	I'd like to incorporate the information & techniques from this element in my work.	I will certainly incorporate the information and techniques from this element in my work.
Retrieval Operations					\$1 · \$4
Search Strategy					- Militaria (1996) (1996) (1996) (1996) (1996) (1996) (1996) (1996) (1996) (1996) (1996) (1996) (1996) (1996)
Bayesian Analysis					eran diper employee and the committee of the representative of a separate size of the separat
Semantic Distortion					- and a different aggregation of the state o
File Construction					
MIS/PPB					* Paper of the Control of the Contro
Hu nan Factors					<i>***</i>
Mcdelling & Simulation					
PERT		1			**************************************
Intelligence Problems -		and and the second of the seco			
making use of these new techniques			-	The second secon	
. Ap	proved For Release 200	3/04/29 : CIA-RDP83-0	0058R0001001200	07-6	

And the second of the second o

Approved For Release 2003/04/29: CIA-RDP83-00058R000100120007-6

APPENDIX - C

#### PACOLITYSTATE ACTIVITY REPORT

	Approved For Release 2003/04/	/29 : CIA-RI	DP83-000	58R09010	0120007-6	;					
	NAME:		WEEK	OF:	TO	ı					
	This form is simple to maintain	if done	each day	. Indic	ate the						
	amount of time spent performing										
· ·											
	others if necessary.) An activity is considered significant if										
	it requires more than 15 minutes	it requires more than 15 minutes of your time to do it. In the									
	case of short duration jobs, i.e	e., filing	g, merel	y record	the						
	approximate total time spent in	that acti	ivity du	ring the	day.						
	turn in to branch chief by Frida	y noon ea	ch week	•			•				
ADMIN	NISTRATION:	1	7		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
	gement:	Mon.	Tue.	Tio d							
110110	1ctter/report-research	110111	Tue.	Wed.	Thur.	Fri.	0.T.				
	letter/report-preparation		<u> </u>	·							
	letter/report-coordinating		<del></del>			<del> </del>					
	o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o			<del> </del>	<del></del>	·					
	Briefings-preparing			<del> </del>		<del> </del>	-				
	Briefings-presenting			<del> </del>	<del> </del>						
	Briefings-attending				<del> </del>	<del> </del>					
			1		<del></del>						
	Meetings-preparation				- <del>                                    </del>	1	<del>- </del>				
	Meetings-attending					<b>†</b>					
	Tolonhone										
	Telephone	·	<u> </u>								
Cleri	cal:		<u> </u>	<del> </del>							
	· ·	ļ	<del> </del>			ļ					
	typing										
	filing		<del> </del>		ļ		<u>-</u>				
	reproduction				<del> </del>		<del></del>				
			<del> </del>	-0							
	meetings		1		<del> </del>						
	telephone										
	twodeday										
In the ten on the	training										
CHEDT	CULUM:										
	uction:										
-	Research/study										
-	Lesson preparation										
	Lesson presentation										
	Lesson attendance					<del></del>	~				
	Coordinating										

Approved For Release 2003/04/29 : CIA-RDP83-00058R000100120007-6 Mon. Tue. Wed. Thur. Fri. O.T. CURRICULUM ACTIVITY CONTD. Scheduling: preparation coordinating Student Advising/Evaluating Faculty Advisor Time Seminar Evaluation Paper/report evaluation Briefing evaluation Counseling Record administration Exercises: Development Preparation for Participation SECURITY: Security indoctrination/training PROFESSIONALISM: Professional development Proficiency maintenance 'RAVEL/LEAVE: Travel/TDY Leave